arXiv:1403.0313v1 [quant-ph] 3 Mar 2014

Fine-grained uncertainty relation under the relativistic motion

Jun Feng,[1,*] Yao-Zhong Zhang,[1] Mark D. Gould,[1] and Heng Fan[2]

[1]*School of Mathematics and Physics, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia*
[2]*Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China*

One of the most important features of quantum theory is the uncertainty principle. Amount various uncertainty relations, the profound Fine-Grained Uncertainty Relation (FGUR) is used to distinguish the uncertainty inherent in obtaining any combination of outcomes for different measurements. In this paper, we explore this uncertainty relation in relativistic regime. For observer undergoes an uniform acceleration who immersed in an Unruh thermal bath, we show that the uncertainty bound is dependent on the acceleration parameter and choice of Unruh modes. Dramatically, we find that the measurements in Mutually Unbiased Bases (MUBs), sharing same uncertainty bound in inertial frame, could be distinguished from each other for a noninertial observer. On the other hand, once the Unruh decoherence is prevented by utilizing the cavity, the entanglement could be generated from nonuniform motion. We show that, for the observer restricted in a single rigid cavity, the uncertainty exhibits a periodic evolution with respect to the duration of the acceleration and the uncertainty bounds can be degraded by the entanglement generation during particular epoch. With properly chosen cavity parameters, the uncertainty bounds could be protected. Otherwise, the measurements in different MUBs could be distinguished due to the relativistic motion of cavity. Implications of our results for gravitation and thermodynamics are discussed.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 03.67.Mn, 04.62.+v

I. INTRODUCTION

The distinguishability of a quantum theory from its classical counterpart is formulated by the Heisenberg uncertainty principle [1], which bounds our prediction ability for a quantum system. In terms of entropic measures, this uncertainty relation can be recast in a modern information-theoretical form [2], which states that $H(Q)+H(R)\geqslant \log_2\frac{1}{c}$, where $H(Q)$ and $H(R)$ denote the Shannon entropy for the probability distribution of the measurement outcomes. Since the overlap c between observables Q and R does not depend on specific states to be measured, the right-hand side (RHS) of the inequality provides a fixed lower bound and a more general framework of quantifying uncertainty than the standard deviations [3, 4]. Moreover, once using quantum memory to store information about the measured system, the entropic uncertainty bound could even be violated [5]. All these entropic uncertainty relations play an important role in many quantum information processing.

However, constructed from the probability distribution of a measurement taken, entropic function is still a rather coarse way of measuring the uncertainty of a set of measurements (see Ref. [6] for a recent review). For instance, one can not distinguish the uncertainty inherent in obtaining any combination of outcomes for different measurements. To overcome this defect, a new form of uncertainty relation, i.e., Fine-Grained Uncertainty Relation (FGUR), has been proposed recently [7]. For a set of measurements labeled by t, associating with every combination of possible outcomes $\mathbf{x}=(x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(n)})\in\mathbf{B}^{\times n}$, there exist a set of inequalities

$$\left\{\sum_{t=1}^{n}p(t)p(x^{(t)}|\rho)\leq\zeta_{\mathbf{x}}\Big|\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{B}^{\times n}\right\}, \tag{1}$$

where $p(t)$ is the probability of choosing a particular measurement, $p(x^{(t)}|\rho)$ is the probability that one obtains the outcome $x^{(t)}$ after performing measurement t on the state ρ. To measure the uncertainty, the maximum in function $\zeta_{\mathbf{x}}=\max_\rho\sum_{t=1}^{n}p(t)p(x^{(t)}|\rho)$ should be taken over all states allowed on a particular system. It can be proved that one can not obtain outcomes with certainty for all measurements simultaneously when $\zeta_{\mathbf{x}}<1$. On the other hand, for the so-called Maximally Certain State (MCS), the inequality (1) can be saturated. Since its introduction, many applications have been found for the FGUR. For instance, it was shown [8] that the FGUR could be used to discriminate among classical, quantum, and superquantum correlations involving two or three parties. Moreover, the uncertainty bound in (1) could be optimized once the measured system is assisted by a quantum memory [9]. Dramatically, a profound link between the FGUR and the second law of thermodynamics has been found [10], which claims that a violation of uncertainty relation implies a violation of thermodynamical law. Other studies from various perspectives could be found in [11–13].

While most of studies on uncertainty relations are nonrelativistic, a complete account of these relations requires one to understand them in relativistic regime, which may even shed new light on quantum gravity [14]. In the previous work [15], we have shown that, besides the choice

*Electronic address: j.feng1@uq.edu.au

on the observers, the entropic uncertainty bound should also depend on the relativistic motion state of the observer who performs the measurement. This new character of quantum-memory-assisted Entropic Uncertainty Relation (EUR) is a direct result of entanglement generation in a relativistic system.

In this paper, we explore the FGUR under the decoherence rooting in the relativistic motion of quantum systems, and find the uncertainty bound does depend on the motion state of the system. We first consider observer undergoes an *uniform* acceleration relative to an inertial reference. Since two frames differ in their description of a given quantum state due to the Unruh effect, the concept of measurement becomes observer-dependent, which implies a nontrivial relativistic modification to the FGUR. Without loss of generality, beyond the single-mode approximation, we show that the Unruh effect modifies the uncertainty bound for the measurements in $d = 2$ Mutually Unbiased Bases (MUBs), which are intimately related to complementarity principle. Dramatically, we find that, for a noninertial observer, the measurements in general MUBs could be distinguished from each other, while they share same uncertainty bound in inertial frame. We extend these results to an alternative scenario, where, to prevent the Unruh decoherence, an observer is restricted in a single rigid cavity which undergoes an *nonuniform* acceleration. We show that the uncertainty could be degraded by the nonuniform acceleration of cavity during particular epoch, while the uncertainty bound itself exhibits a periodic evolution with respect to the duration of the acceleration. This phenomenon can be attributed to the entanglement generation between the field modes in single cavity that plays the role of quantum memory. Moreover, except the acceleration-duration time with integer periods, the measurements in different MUBs are distinguishable by the corresponding uncertainty bounds.

II. FGUR FOR AN ACCELERATING OBSERVER

We first investigate the FGUR for an observer with uniform acceleration a, who performs projective measurements on the quantum state constructed from free field modes. For the noninertial observer traveling in, e.g., right Rindler wedge, field modes in left Rindler wedge are unaccessible. The information loss associated with the acceleration horizon results in a thermal bath. From quantum information point of view [16], this celebrated Unruh effect induces a nontrivial evolution of quantum entanglement between field modes which plays a prominent role in most quantum-information protocols.

For simplicity, considering fermionic field with few degrees, the most general vacuum state $|0_U\rangle = \bigotimes_k |0_{k,R}\rangle \otimes |0_{k,L}\rangle$ should be annihilated by Unruh operators $C_{k,U}$ [17, 18]

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k,U} &= q_R C^\dagger_{k,R} + q_L C_{k,L}, \qquad q_R^2 + q_L^2 = 1, \\ C_{k,R} &= \cos r c_{k,\mathrm{I}} - \sin r d^\dagger_{k,\mathrm{II}}, \\ C_{k,L} &= \cos r c_{k,\mathrm{II}} - \sin r d^\dagger_{k,\mathrm{I}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where q_R and q_L are real parameters, and $\tan r = e^{-\pi\omega/a}$. The particle and antiparticle operators $c_{k,i}$ and $d_{k,i}$ in respective Rindler wedge $\{i = \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}\}$ satisfy the usual anti commutation relations. By analytic construction to whole spacetime, the proper Unruh modes are symmetric between Rindler wedges I and II. For particular frequency Ω, the Unruh vacuum is [18]

$$\begin{aligned} |0_{\Omega,U}\rangle &= \cos^2 r|0000\rangle - \sin^2 r|1111\rangle \\ &\quad + \sin r \cos r(|1100\rangle - |0011\rangle) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and the first excitation is

$$\begin{aligned} |1_{\Omega,U}\rangle &= q_R(\cos r|1000\rangle - \sin r|1011\rangle) \\ &\quad + q_L(\sin r|1101\rangle + \cos r|0001\rangle) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where we introduce the notations

$$|1111\rangle = b^\dagger_{\mathrm{I}} c^\dagger_{\mathrm{II}} c^\dagger_{\mathrm{I}} b^\dagger_{\mathrm{II}} |0_{\Omega,\mathrm{I}}\rangle^+ |0_{\Omega,\mathrm{II}}\rangle^- |0_{\Omega,\mathrm{I}}\rangle^- |0_{\Omega,\mathrm{II}}\rangle^+ \tag{5}$$

with particle and anti-particle vacua are denoted by $|0\rangle^+$ and $|0\rangle^-$. It should be noted that different operator ordering in fermonic systems could lead to nonunique results in quantum information [19]. For instance, if we rearrange operator ordering in (5) as $b^\dagger_{\mathrm{I}} c^\dagger_{\mathrm{I}} c^\dagger_{\mathrm{II}} b^\dagger_{\mathrm{II}}$, then a new Fock basis is defined $|1111\rangle' = -|1111\rangle$. This so-called physical ordering [20], in which all region I operators appear to the left of all region II operators, was proposed to guarantee the entanglement behavior of above states would yield physical results. Hereafter, we adopt this particular operator ordering.

To explore how the relativistic motion of an observer could influence the FGUR, we consider a scenario in which the state to be measured should be prepared in an inertial frame. After that, the observer undergoes an uniform acceleration and performs measurements. Since the state should be described in the corresponding Rindler frame, information would lose via Unruh effect. Therefore, the uncertainty obtained by the accelerated observer would be motion-dependent.

We illustrate above insight by measurements σ_x and σ_z, behaving as the best measurement basis, where Pauli operators σ_x and σ_z with equal probability $1/2$ are chosen [7]. Remarkably, along with σ_y, three set of their eigenvectors form the MUBs in Hilbert space with dimension $d = 2$, which plays central role to theoretical investigations and practical exploitations of complementarity properties [21].

For all pure states $|\psi\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}|0\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|1\rangle$, $\theta \in [0, \pi], \phi \in [0, 2\pi)$, the corresponding density matrix $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ should be rewritten according to the transformation (3) and (4). Since the field modes in Rindler wedge II

is unaccessible to observer, after tracing over the modes in wedge II, the reduced density matrix is

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{red} &\equiv \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{II}}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \\ &= |00\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle 00|(\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}c^4+\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}q_L^2c^2) \\ &\quad +|11\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle 11|(\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}s^4+\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}q_R^2s^2) \\ &\quad +|01\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle 01|(\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}s^2c^2) \\ &\quad +|10\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle 10|(\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}s^2c^2+\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}q_R^2c^2+\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}q_L^2s^2) \\ &\quad -|00\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle 01|e^{i\phi}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}q_Lsc^2 \\ &\quad +|00\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle 10|e^{-i\phi}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}q_Rc^3 \\ &\quad -|00\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle 11|\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}q_Rq_Lsc \\ &\quad +|01\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle 11|e^{-i\phi}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}q_Rs^2c \\ &\quad +|10\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle 11|e^{i\phi}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}q_Ls^3+(h.c.)_{\mathrm{nondiag}} \qquad (6)\end{aligned}$$

with abbreviation $c\equiv\cos r,\ s\equiv\sin r$. After performing the projective measurements σ_x and σ_z on particle sector, we have the probabilities for the outcomes $(0^x,0^z)$

$$\begin{aligned}p(0^z|\sigma_z)_\rho &\equiv \mathrm{tr}(|0\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}^+\langle 0|\rho_{red})=c^2(\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}+\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}q_L^2), \\ p(0^x|\sigma_x)_\rho &\equiv \mathrm{tr}(|+\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}^+\langle +|\rho_{red}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}+\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\phi q_Rc. \qquad (7)\end{aligned}$$

Here, for later convenience, we chose the measurements in basis $\{|+\rangle_{\mathrm{I}},|-\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\}$ and $\{|0\rangle_{\mathrm{I}},|1\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\}$ which are the eigenstates of Pauli matrix σ_x and σ_z restricted in Rindler wedge I. Therefore, LHS of FGUR (1) should be

$$\begin{aligned}U &\equiv \frac{1}{2}[p(0^z|\sigma_z)_\rho+p(0^x|\sigma_x)_\rho] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[\sin\theta\cos\phi q_Rc+(\cos\theta q_R^2+q_L^2+1)c^2+1] \qquad (8)\end{aligned}$$

For a particular Unruh mode with fixed acceleration, one can estimate that the maximum of U which is $U_{max}=\zeta$ should always be obtained with $\theta=\frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\phi=0$ [22]. This means that the MCS which saturates the inequality (1) is independent of the acceleration of the observer, which indicates that once we choose the bases enabling an optimal uncertainty on average in an inertial frame, the corresponding measurements should maintain their optimality for all noninertial observer. This remarkable phenomenon could be useful in many real quantum process, for instance, the BB84 states $\{|+\rangle,|-\rangle\}$ and $\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle\}$ in quantum cryptography [23].

Explicitly, the dependence of the fine-grained uncertainty bound with outcomes $(0^x,0^z)$ on the acceleration parameter and choice of Unruh modes could be expressed as

$$\zeta_{(0^x,0^z)} = \frac{1}{4}[c^2(1+q_L^2+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}q_R^2)+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}q_Rc+1] \qquad (9)$$

Above calculation can extend to any other pairs of outcomes $(0^x,1^z)$, $(1^x,0^z)$ and $(1^x,1^z)$, which all give the same bound $\zeta=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ in inertial frame [7]. Dramatically, we find that the nontrivial Unruh effect could distinguish these four pairs of measurements and separate them into two categories. For instance, we have

$$\begin{aligned}p(1^z|\sigma_z)_\rho &\equiv \mathrm{tr}(|1\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle 1|\rho_{red}) \\ &= \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}s^2+\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}(q_R^2+q_L^2s^2), \\ p(1^x|\sigma_x)_\rho &\equiv \mathrm{tr}(|-\rangle_{\mathrm{I}}\langle -|\rho_{red}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}-\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\phi q_Rc. \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

which give

$$\zeta_{(1^x,1^z)} = \frac{1}{4}[(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}c^2)q_R^2+(1+q_L^2)s^2+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}q_Rc+1] \qquad (11)$$

for the MCS with $\theta=\frac{5\pi}{4}$ and $\phi=0$. After straightforward calculations, it can be shown that the uncertainty bound ζ is also dependent on the direction of $z-$axis, that measurements $(0^x,1^z)$ share the same bound (11) with $(1^x,1^z)$, while $(1^x,0^z)$ has the same bound (9) with $(0^x,0^z)$. This is definitely a new feature of fine-grained uncertainty bound triggered by noninertial relativistic motion.

To further explore the influence of Unruh effect on FUGR, we illustrate the uncertainty bounds (9) and (11) for three different choice of Unruh modes, as depicted in Fig. 1.



FIG. 1: The value of ζ is dependent on the acceleration parameter r and choice of Unruh modes. Three set of curves correspond to the choice of Unruh modes with $q_L=0$ (black solid), $q_L=0.6$ (red dashed) and $q_L=1$ (blue dashed-double-dotted).

For the case with $q_L=0$, where the Minkowskian annihilation operator is taken to be one of the right or left

moving Unruh modes, the noninertial observer would detect a single-mode state once the field is in a special superposition of Minkowski monochromatic modes from an inertial perspective [24]. Under this Single-Mode Approximation (SMA), commonly assumed in the old literature on relativistic quantum information [16], we recover the standard result $\zeta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ for vanishing acceleration. As r growing, the value of ζ decreases, indicating an increment on measurement uncertainty.

While there is no essential difference in the behavior of measurement uncertainty for outcomes $(0^x, 0^z)$ and $(1^x, 0^z)$ with various q_L, however, the uncertainty bounds (11) are sensitive with the choice of Unruh modes. Surprisingly, as r growing, for the Unruh modes with large q_L, we observe a decrement on measurement uncertainty for outcomes $(0^x, 1^z)$ and $(1^x, 1^z)$, which means that Unruh effect could even lift the uncertainty bound in (1).

Finally, as illustrated in Fig. 1, we find that the distinguishability between the measurements in MUBs is a common feature for any choice of Unruh modes. To explain this, recall that, by definition, a set of orthonormal bases $\{\mathcal{B}_k\}$ for a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^d$ where $\mathcal{B}_k = \{|i_k\rangle\} = \{|0_k\rangle, \cdots, |d-1_k\rangle\}$ is called unbiased iff $|\langle i_k|j_l\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{d}$, $\forall\ i, j \in \{0, \cdots, d-1\}$ holds for all basis vectors $|i_k\rangle$ and $|j_l\rangle$ belong to different bases, i.e., $\forall\ k \neq l$. From an inertial perspective, the MUBs are intimately related to complementarity principle [25], which indicates that the measurement of a observable reveals no information about the outcome of another one if their corresponding bases are mutually unbiased. However, for a noninertial observer, the bases $\{\mathcal{B}_k\}$ should be transformed according to proper Bogoliubov transformations, which in general breaks the orthonormality. In other word, the MUBs in inertial frame would become non-MUBs from a noninertial perspective. Therefore, for the observer undergoing an uniform acceleration, we conclude that Unruh effect could distinguish measurements in MUBs.

III. FGUR FOR A NONUNIFORM-MOVING CAVITY

We now discuss an alternative scenario in which observer is localized in a rigid cavity. While the rigid boundaries of the cavity protect the inside observer from the Unruh effect, the relativistic motion of the cavity would still affect the entanglement between the free field modes inside [26–28], therefore leading to a motion-dependent uncertainty bound [15].

For simplicity, we consider a (1+1)-dimensional model, where the cavity with length $L = x_2 - x_1$ imposes the Dirichlet conditions on the eigenfunctions $\psi_n(t, x)$ of the Hamiltonian. A typical trajectory of nonuniform-moving cavity contains three segments referred as (I') when the cavity maintains its inertial status, then (II') begins to accelerate at $t = 0$, following the Killing vector ∂_η, and finally (III') the acceleration ends at Rindler time $\eta = \eta_1$, and the duration of the acceleration in proper time measured at the center of the cavity is $\tau_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)\eta_1$. The Dirac field can be expanded in quantized eigenfunctions as $\psi = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} a_n\psi_n + \sum_{n\leqslant 0} b_n^\dagger\psi_n$ in segment I', and similarly be expressed by $\hat{\psi}_n$ in segment II' and $\tilde{\psi}_n$ in segment III'. The nonvanishing anticommutators $\{c_m, c_n^\dagger\} = \{d_m, d_n^\dagger\} = \delta_{mn}$ define the vacuum $c_n|0\rangle = d_n|0\rangle = 0$. Any two field modes in distinct regions can be related by Bogoliubov transformations like $\hat{\psi}_m = \sum_n A_{mn}\psi_n$ and $\tilde{\psi}_m = \sum_n \mathbb{A}_{mn}\psi_n$, where the coefficients can be calculated perturbatively in the limit of small cavity acceleration [26]. More specifically, by introducing the dimensionless parameter $h = 2L/(x_1+x_2)$, which is the product of the cavity's length and the acceleration at the center of the cavity, the coefficients can be expanded in a Maclaurin series to h^2 order, $A = A^{(0)} + A^{(1)} + A^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(h^3)$, and similarly $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^{(0)} + \mathbb{A}^{(1)} + \mathbb{A}^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(h^3)$.

We start from a pure state $|\psi_k\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}|0_k\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|1_k\rangle^+$ in segment I. After the uniform acceleration, we can express this state in segment III' by means of the Bogoliubov transformations, which contains modes within all frequency. Throughout the process, we assume that the observer can only be sensitive to modes in particular frequency k. Therefore all other modes with frequency $k' \neq k$ should be traced out in the density matrix $\tilde{\rho} = |\tilde{\psi}_k\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}_k|$, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\rho}_{red} &= \mathrm{tr}_{\neg k}|\tilde{\psi}_k\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}_k| \\
&= |\tilde{0}_k\rangle\langle\tilde{0}_k|(\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} - \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}f_k^- + \sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}f_k^+) \\
&\quad +|\tilde{1}_k\rangle^{++}\langle\tilde{1}_k|(\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}f_k^- - \sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}f_k^+) \\
&\quad +|\tilde{0}_k\rangle^{+}\langle\tilde{1}_k|\frac{1}{2}\sin\theta e^{-i\phi}(G_k + \mathbb{A}_{kk}^{(2)}) \\
&\quad +|\tilde{1}_k\rangle^{+}\langle\tilde{0}_k|\frac{1}{2}\sin\theta e^{i\phi}(G_k + \mathbb{A}_{kk}^{(2)})^* \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients are $f_k^+ \equiv \sum_{p\geqslant 0}|\mathbb{A}_{pk}^{(1)}|^2$ and $f_k^- \equiv \sum_{p<0}|\mathbb{A}_{pk}^{(1)}|^2$. The probability of measurements $(0^x, 0^z)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
p(0^z|\sigma_z)_{\tilde{\rho}} &\equiv \mathrm{tr}(|\tilde{0}_k\rangle\langle\tilde{0}_k|\tilde{\rho}_{red}) \\
&= \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} - \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}f_k^- + \sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}f_k^+, \\
p(0^x|\sigma_x)_{\tilde{\rho}} &\equiv \mathrm{tr}(|+\rangle\langle+|\tilde{\rho}_{red}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\{1 + \sin\theta\mathrm{Re}[e^{-i\phi}(G_k + \mathbb{A}_{kk}^{(2)})]\}. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

The uncertainty bound should be the maximum of LHS of FGUR (1), $U \equiv \frac{1}{2}[p(0^z|\sigma_z)_{\tilde{\rho}} + p(0^x|\sigma_x)_{\tilde{\rho}}]$. Along the analysis before, we know that the acceleration of cavity would not change the MCS with parameters $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\phi = 0$. Therefore, we obtain the uncertainty bound for the cavity system

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{(0^x,0^z)} = \frac{1}{4}[2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 - F_+) + F_- + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\mathrm{Re}(G_k + \mathbb{A}_{kk}^{(2)})] \qquad (14)$$

The coefficients in the bound has been given in [26], which are

$$F_+ = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} |E_1^{k-p}-1|^2 |A_{kp}^{(1)}|^2 = \frac{4h^2}{\pi^4}[4(k+s)^2(Q_6(1)-Q_6(E_1))+Q_4(1)-Q_4(E_1)]$$

and [15]

$$F_- \equiv f_k^+ - f_k^- = \left(\sum_{p\geqslant 0} - \sum_{p<0}\right)|E_1^{k-p}-1|^2|A_{kp}^{(1)}|^2 = \frac{16h^2}{\pi^4}2(k+s)[Q_5(1)-Q_5(E_1)]+P(k,s,E_1)$$

with $s\in[0,1)$ characterizing the self-adjoint extension of the Hamiltonian. Here we use the notation $Q_\alpha(\beta)\equiv \mathrm{Re}\big[\mathrm{Li}_\alpha(\beta)-\frac{1}{2^\alpha}\mathrm{Li}_\alpha(\beta^2)\big]$, Li is the polylogarithm and $E_1\equiv\exp(\frac{i\pi\eta_1}{\ln(x_2/x_1)})=\exp(\frac{i\pi h\tau_1}{2Lx_1\tanh(h/2)})$. P is a polynomial summing for all terms with odd number

$$\sum_{odd\ m=1}^{k}\frac{4h^2}{\pi^4}\big(1-\mathrm{Re}(E_1^m)\big)\left[4(k+s)\left(\frac{k+s}{m}-1\right)+\frac{1}{m^4}\right]$$

and

$$\mathrm{Re}(G_k+\mathbb{A}_{kk}^{(2)}) = 1-h^2\left\{\left(\frac{1}{48}+\frac{\pi^2(k+s)^2}{120}\right)-\frac{2}{\pi^4}\big[4(k+s)^2Q_6(E_1)+Q_4(E_1)\big]\right\}$$

In previous section, we show that an uniformly-accelerating observer can distinguish the measurements in MUBs which share the same uncertainty bounds in an inertial frame. Here we generalize this to the scenario with rigid cavity. To proceed, we calculate the probabilities of measurements $(1^x,1^z)$

$$\begin{aligned} p(1^z|\sigma_z)_{\tilde\rho} &\equiv \mathrm{tr}(|\tilde 1_k\rangle^{++}\langle\tilde 1_k|\tilde\rho_{red}) \\ &= \sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}+\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}f_k^- - \sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}f_k^+, \\ p(1^x|\sigma_x)_{\tilde\rho} &\equiv \mathrm{tr}(|+\rangle\langle+|\tilde\rho_{red}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\{1-\sin\theta\mathrm{Re}[e^{-i\phi}(G_k+\mathbb{A}_{kk}^{(2)})]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

which give the uncertainty bound $\tilde\zeta_{(1^x,1^z)}$ for MCS with $\theta=\frac{5\pi}{4}$, $\phi=0$

$$\tilde\zeta_{(1^x,1^z)} = \frac{1}{4}[2+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1-F_+)-F_-+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\mathrm{Re}(G_k+\mathbb{A}_{kk}^{(2)})] \quad (16)$$

We depict above uncertainty bounds for measurements performed within cavity in Fig. 2. We find that both uncertainty bounds (14) and (16) are now periodic in time τ_1, which measures the duration of the cavity acceleration, with the period $T=4Lx_1\tanh(h/2)/h$. The evolution of the uncertainty bound can be interpreted as a result of the entanglement generation between the field modes in the single rigid cavity that plays the role of quantum memory [9]. By properly choosing the parameters to ensure that $\tau_1=nT$ with $n\in\mathbb{N}$, the uncertainty bounds are protected [15], recovering the value $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ as in inertial case. While the uncertainty change is very small due to the low acceleration approximation $|k|h\ll 1$ imposed, our results could provide a novel way to detect the relativistic effect by future quantum metrology.



FIG. 2: The value of ζ depends on the duration time of acceleration of rigid cavity. We choose $k=1$. For each pair of measurements $((0^x,0^z)$ and $(1^x,0^z)$, $(1^x,1^z)$ and $(0^x,1^z))$, three cures from top to bottom correspond to parameters $s=0,\ 0.3,\ 0.6$. The parameter $u=\eta_1/(2\ln(x_2/x_1))=h\tau_1/[4Lx_1\tanh h/2]$ characterizes the duration time of cavity acceleration. To demonstrate the low acceleration approximation, the uncertainty is estimated under $h=0.1$.

On the other hand, for arbitrary acceleration duration $\tau_1\neq nT$, measurements with outcomes $(0^x,0^z)$ and $(1^x,1^z)$ can be distinguished from each other by the corresponding uncertainty bounds (14) and (16). By a straightforward calculation, it can be shown that measurements $(0^x,1^z)$ share the same bound (16) with $(1^x,1^z)$, while $(1^x,0^z)$ has the same bound (14) with $(0^x,0^z)$. Therefore, same as Unruh effect, we conclude that the relativistic motion of a rigid cavity can provoke the distinguishability between the measurements in MUBs that share the same bound $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ for an inertial observer.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we have explorer the nontrivial relativistic modification to the FGUR. We have shown that, for the observer undergoes a large acceleration, the associated Unruh effect could increase or reduce the fine-grained uncertainty bounds, depending on the choice of Unruh modes. Nevertheless, the MCS still could be independent of the acceleration, which indicates that once we choose the bases enabling an optimal uncertainty on average in an inertial frame, the corresponding measurements should maintain their optimality for all noninertial observer. Moreover, we have shown that the mea-

surements in MUBs, sharing same uncertainty bound in inertial frame, could be distinguished from each other when the observer undergoes a nonvanishing acceleration. In an alternative scenario, we have investigated the FGUR for the measurements restricted in a single rigid cavity, where the uncertainty bound itself exhibits a periodic evolution with respect to the duration of the acceleration. This phenomenon could be understand by the entanglement generation in a single rigid cavity that plays the role of quantum memory. Our results provide a novel way to investigate the relativistic effect from a quantum-information perspective, that could be experimental tested by quantum metrology [29].

Our results could be linked to many interesting issues. For instance, we can generalize above analysis to fundamental mutually unbaised bases in higher dimensional Hilbert spaces [30, 31], where a n-qubit can be truncated from free scalar field modes with infinite levels. On the other hand, the fascinating link between FGUR and the second thermodynamical law has been explored in [10], which proved that a deviation of the FGUR implies a violation of the second law of thermodynamics. In this spirit, by investigating the influence of the relativistic motion of observer on a thermodynamical cycle, one could relate the relativistic effect to thermodynamics in an information-theoretic way. In particular, one can investigate the uncertainty relations in general dynamical spacetimes [32], e.g., cosmological background, where the entanglement generation under the evolution of spacetime is expected to play a dramatical role in measurements [33]. With a proper designed thermodynamical cycle, such investigation might provide us a new perspective on quantum gravity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is supported by the Australian Research Council through DP110103434. J. F. thanks Li-Hang Ren for stimulating discussions. H. F. acknowledges the support of NSFC, 973 program through 2010CB922904.

[1] W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. **43**, 172 (1927).
[2] I. Białynicki-Birula and J. Mycielski, Commun. Math. Phys. **44**, 129 (1975).
[3] D. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 631 (1983).
[4] H. Maassen and J. B. M. Uffink, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1103 (1988).
[5] M. Berta *et al.*, Nat. Phys. **6**, 659 (2010).
[6] S. Wehner and A. Winter, New J. Phys. **12**, 025009 (2010).
[7] J. Oppenheim, and S. Wehner, Science **330**, 1072 (2010).
[8] Ansuman Dey, T. Pramanik, and A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 012120 (2013).
[9] T. Pramanik, P. Chowdhury, and A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 020402 (2013).
[10] E. Hanggi, and S. Wehner, Nature Commun. **4**, 1670 (2013).
[11] T. Pramanik and A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 024103 (2012).
[12] S. Friedland, V. Gheorghiu and G. Gour, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 230401 (2013).
[13] A. Dey, T. Pramanik and A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 012120 (2013).
[14] A. J. M. Medved and Elias C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 124021 (2004).
[15] J. Feng, Y. Z. Zhang, M. D. Gould and H. Fan, Phys. Lett. B **726**, 527 (2013).
[16] P. Alsing and I. Fuentes, Class. Quantum Grav. **29**, 224001 (2012).
[17] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 870 (1976).
[18] D. E. Bruschi, J. Louko, E. Martín-Martínez, A. Dragan and I. Fuentes, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 042332 (2010).
[19] M. Montero and E. Martín-Martínez, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 062323 (2011).
[20] M. Montero and E. Martín-Martínez, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 024301 (2012).
[21] T. Durt, B. -G. Englert, I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski, Int. J. Quantum Inf. **8**, 535 (2010).
[22] L. H. Ren and H. Fan, arXiv: 1312.6744.
[23] C. H. Bennett, and G. Brassard, in Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computers, Systems, and Signal Processing (IEEE, New York/Bangalore, 1984), pp. 175.
[24] E. Martín-Martínez, L. J. Garay and J. León, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 064006 (2010).
[25] J. Schwinger, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **46**, 560 (1960).
[26] N. Friis, A. R. Lee, D. E. Bruschi, and J. Louko, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 025012 (2012).
[27] D. E. Bruschi, I. Fuentes, and J. Louko, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 061701(R) (2012).
[28] N. Friis, D.E. Bruschi, J. Louko, and I. Fuentes, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 081701(R) (2012).
[29] D. Rideout *et al.*, Class. Quantum Grav. **29**, 224011 (2012).
[30] W. K. Wootters and B.D. Fields, Ann. Phys. **191**, 363 (1989).
[31] A. Kalev, A. Mann and M. Revzen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 260502 (2013).
[32] J. Feng, Y. Z. Zhang, M. D. Gould, H. Fan, in preparation.
[33] E. Martín-Martínez and N. C. Menicucci, Class. Quantum Grav. **29**, 224003 (2012).